Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/069,112	HATANAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pramila Parthasarathy	2136
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Pramila Parthasarathy</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joseph Felber</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 May 2006	Time: <u>10:00am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-78		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) 5/28/06 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
	, ,p.: = ==============================	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner pointed out that preliminary amendment filed on 6/19/2002 was overlooked when first office was mailed and requested Applicant to choose the set of claims (1-33 or 34 - 45) to be examined. Applicant requested the Examiner to further consider the amnended set of claims 1 - 45 as filed on 3/16/2006.